

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/795,797	03/08/2004	Charles L. Gray JR.	310121.415	7696	
34212 7590 02/26/2007 SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVENUE SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092			. EXAMINER		
			KERSHTEYN, IGOR		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
obiii ibb, wi	190101 7092		3745		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•		02/26/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief				

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/795,797	GRAY, CHARLES L.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Igor Kershteyn	3745		

Advisory Action	10/795,797	GRAY, CHARLES L			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Igor Kershteyn	3745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 January 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	E FIRST REPLY WAS F	LED WITHIN		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of example 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri- inally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complishing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		moliant Amendment (PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		impliant Amendment (1 101-02-1		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-10 and 20-30.		II be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>15</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-14,17-19,31-33,36</u> . Claim(s) with drawn from consideration:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	ce because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		107		
	IGOR KERSHTEYN PRIMARY EXAMINER	Igor Kershteyn 2 Primary Examiner	19107		